Chapter 4 and beyond: Learning about distributions from finite data Part 1, Mon 11 July

Jess Kunke

MATH/STAT 394: Probability I (Summer 2022 A-term)

Outline

Announcements + clarifications

Distribution of a transformation of a RV (§5.2)

Motivation: Estimation from real data

Concentration inequalities

Outline

Announcements + clarifications

Distribution of a transformation of a RV (§5.2)

Motivation: Estimation from real data

Concentration inequalities

${\sf Announcements} + {\sf clarifications}$

► HW 4

Piecewise function notation

Outline

Announcements + clarifications

Distribution of a transformation of a RV (§5.2)

Motivation: Estimation from real data

Concentration inequalities

Expectation of a function of a RV

From Chapter 3:

If we know the distribution of a RV X and now we are interested in a RV Y = g(X) for some function g, we know how to compute E[Y]:

Theorem

Let X be a RV that takes values in \mathcal{X} and $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be some function.

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} g(k)p(k)$$
 if X is discrete with pmf p,
$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x)f(x)dx$$
 if X is continuous with pdf f.

Now we will cover how to derive the *distribution* of Y from the dist. of X

Invertible functions

Main idea: map values of Y = g(X) back to X

- One concept that might come to mind is the inverse: a map or function g: A → B is invertible if for every y ∈ B there is a unique x ∈ A such that y = g(x)
- ▶ Any monotonic (strictly increasing/decreasing) function g is invertible

• e.g.
$$g(x) = x^2$$
 is invertible on $[0, \infty)$

What if g is not invertible?

Maybe multiple values of X map to the same value (y) of Y, so that $g^{-1}(y)$ is a set, not a single number

Images and pre-images of sets

Definition

Let A, B be two sets and $g : A \rightarrow B$. The **image** of $F \subseteq A$ under g is defined as

$$g(F) = \{g(x) : x \in F\} \subseteq B.$$

The **pre-image** of $T \subseteq B$ under g is

$$g^{-1}(T) = \{x \in A : g(x) \in T\} \subseteq A.$$

- The notation g⁻¹ is the same we use for the inverse of g when it is defined, but here we are not assuming g is invertible
- The pre-image of a set always exists even if the inverse does not exist
- If g is invertible, then $g^{-1}(T)$ is the image of T under the inverse map g^{-1}
- These definitions apply on sets not on variables
- If there is no element that maps onto T, then $g^{-1}(T) = \emptyset$

Summary: transformations of a discrete RV

Lemma

Let X be a discrete RV, let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and let Y = g(X). The pmf of Y is

$$p_Y(y) = P(g(X) = y) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{y\})) = \sum_{\substack{k:g(k) = y \\ k \in \mathcal{X}}} p_X(k).$$

Why is this result specifically for discrete RVs?

Discrete transformation of a continuous RV

For continuous RVs X let's start by considering the case that the transformation g(X) is discrete.

Example

Suppose that a student's score X is continuous and uniformly distributed on [0, 100]: $X \sim \text{Unif}[0, 100]$. A teacher rounds the students' scores to the nearest integer, e.g. if $4.5 \leq X < 5.5$, then the rounded score Y equals 5. What is the pmf of the rounded scores Y?

Discrete transformation of a continuous RV

More generally we have the following result, which is the same as before:

Lemma

Let X be a continuous RV and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a function that maps \mathbb{R} onto a discrete set \mathcal{Y} .

Then the RV Y is discrete and for any $k \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$P(Y = k) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{k\})).$$

Summary so far

• If X is discrete and g is any function, then the pmf of Y = g(X) is

$$P(Y = y) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{y\}))$$
 for $y \in g(\mathcal{X})$,

where $g^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{k \in \mathcal{X} : g(k) = y\}$ is the pre-image of y under g.

If X is continuous but g is a discrete function (g : ℝ → 𝒱 with 𝒱 discrete), then we have the same result except that we integrate over subsets of ℝ instead of summing over subsets of a discrete space 𝔅:

$$P(Y = y) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{y\})),$$

where $g^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = y\}$ is the pre-image of y under g.

Continuous transformation of a continuous RV

The cdf method

- For a continuous RV, the pdf has no interpretation as a probability distribution
- It is easier to compute the cdf of Y = g(X), then differentiate to get the pdf
- We will first illustrate the idea, then detail the method if
 - 1. g is invertible
 - 2. g is not invertible on $\mathbb R$ but invertible on some intervals of $\mathbb R$ that form a partition of $\mathbb R$

The cdf method: Example

Example

Let X be a continuous RV with pdf f_X . What is the pdf of Y = aX + b for some constants a, b with a > 0?

The cdf method: Derivation

g invertible, strictly decreasing

The cdf method: summary

Previous considerations can be summarized by the following lemma:

Lemma

Let X be a continuous RV with pdf f_X . Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and strictly monotonic. Then the pdf of Y = g(X) exists and is given by

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{1}{g'(g^{-1}(y))} \right| f_X(g^{-1}(y)) & \text{if } y \in g(\mathbb{R}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note:

It is preferable to remember the method rather than the lemma because the method is more flexible (see next slides)

The cdf method: further considerations

- 1. What if g is not defined on all of \mathbb{R} ?
 - ▶ g only needs to be defined on a subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $P(X \in B) = 1$
 - For $y \notin g(B)$, define $f_Y(y) = 0$

Example

Let $X \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$ and $g: x \mapsto -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1-x)$, where $\lambda > 0$. What is the distribution of Y = g(X)?

The cdf method: further considerations

- 2. What if g is not invertible?
 - ▶ Partition ℝ into intervals [a_i, a_{i+1}] such that g is invertible on each interval [a_i, a_{i+1}]
 - Apply previous reasoning on these intervals
 - Combine the results to get the cdf, then differentiate to get the pdf

Example

Let X be a continuous RV with pdf f_X . Find the pdf of $Y = X^2$.

The cdf method: further considerations

- 2. What if g is not invertible?
 - Alternative (ultimately equivalent) approach below

Example

Let X be a continuous RV with pdf f_X . Find the pdf of $Y = X^2$.

Summary: transformations of RVs

• If X is discrete and g is any function, then the pmf of Y = g(X) is

$$P(Y = y) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{y\}))$$
 for $y \in g(\mathcal{X})$,

where $g^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{k \in \mathcal{X} : g(k) = y\}$ is the pre-image of y under g.

If X is continuous but Y = g(X) is discrete (g : ℝ → 𝒱 with 𝒱 discrete), then we have the same result except that we integrate over subsets of ℝ instead of summing over subsets of a discrete space 𝔅:

$$P(Y = y) = P(X \in g^{-1}(\{y\})),$$

where $g^{-1}(\{y\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = y\}$ is the pre-image of y under g.

• If X and Y = g(X) are continuous, then use the cdf method in some form

- Identify the possible values of X: only need to account for values of g(x) on this set
- ldentify the possible values of Y: $f_Y(y) = 0$ for all other values in \mathbb{R}
- Compute the cdf of Y
- If you need the pdf of Y, differentiate

Outline

Announcements + clarifications

Distribution of a transformation of a RV (§5.2)

Motivation: Estimation from real data

Concentration inequalities

Motivation

What we've studied so far

- How can we frame a problem in terms of a probability model?
- Given a probability model or probability distribution, how can we compute some useful summaries like the expectation and variance?

But in practice we often don't fully know the distribution

- When you flip a coin, what if you don't want to assume it's fair but instead estimate p?
- What if you want to estimate average income from survey data?
- We can often partially write the probability model but
 - there may be parameters we don't know (e.g. X ~ Bern(p) but we don't know p)
 - maybe we know the mean and variance but not higher moments

Example: Bernoulli trials

Example

Suppose you have a coin and want to estimate its bias. What would you do?

General setting

- In general, suppose you can frame the problem of interest as a series of independent identically distributed (iid) trials
- A common estimator of the true mean is the empirical or sample mean

Definition

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} X$ (i.e. *n* independent RVs, identically distributed as a RV X). The **empirical/sample mean** is defined by

$$\overline{X}_n := \frac{X_1 + \ldots + X_n}{n}$$

- In the case that some event A can happen or not in each trial and you are interested in the average number of times A happens in n trials, you have X ~ Binom(n, p) and want to estimate np. What if you know n but not p?
- We will study the empirical mean as a random variable to understand its properties

Properties of the empirical mean of iid trials

Regardless of whether the X_i are independent, as long as they are identically distributed then we have from linearity of expectation that the mean of the sample mean is the true mean:

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\overline{X}_{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}\mathsf{E}[X_{i}] = \mathsf{E}[X].$$

We say that the sample mean is **unbiased**.

▶ If the X_i are iid (why do we need iid here?), then

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{X}_{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i}\operatorname{Var}[X_{i}] = \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Var}[X].$$

As the number of trials increases, what happens to the variance of your estimate? Does that make sense?

▶ Therefore, as $n \to \infty$ it seems that $\overline{X}_n \to E[X]$. We will develop tools to formalize this (both in this course and in MATH/STAT 395).

Outline

Announcements + clarifications

Distribution of a transformation of a RV (§5.2)

Motivation: Estimation from real data

Concentration inequalities

Estimating tail probabilities: Motivation

- Convergence of the empirical mean will necessarily be stated in terms of probability
- ▶ Namely, we would like to show that as $n \to +\infty$, the probability that \overline{X}_n differs from E[X] tends to 0
- For that we'll need some tools to bound probabilities when we only know e.g. the mean/the variance of a RV
- That's what concentration inequalities are about

Concentration inequalities

First, we'll need the following result:

Theorem (Monotonicity of Expectation)

If two RVs X, Y defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) have finite means and satisfy that $P(X \leq Y) = 1$, then $E[X] \leq E[Y]$.

Markov's Inequality

What can we say about the probability of X if we know E[X]?

Theorem (Markov's inequality)

If X is a non-negative RV with finite mean, then for any c > 0,

$$P(X \ge c) \le \frac{E[X]}{c}.$$

Proof:

Markov's inequality

Example

A donut vendor sells on average 1000 donuts per day. Could he sell more than 1400 donuts tomorrow with probability greater than 0.8?

Markov's inequality

Example

- Let $X \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$.
 - 1. What is $P(X \ge 0.01)$?
 - 2. What does Markov's inequality give us?

Chebyshev's inequality

What can we say about the probability of X if we know both E[X] and Var(X)?

Theorem (Chebyshev's Inequality)

If X is a RV with finite mean μ and finite variance σ^2 , then for any c > 0,

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge c) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{c^2}.$$

Proof:

Note:

The event $\{|X - \mu| \ge c\}$ contains the events $\{X \ge \mu + c\}$ and $\{X \le \mu - c\}$ So we naturally have a bound on $P(X \ge \mu + c)$, $P(X \le \mu - c)$

Example

Example

A donut vendor sells on average 1000 donuts per day with a standard deviation of $\sqrt{200}.$ Given just this information, provide a bound on

- $1. \ the probability that he will sell between 950 and 1050 donuts tomorrow$
- 2. the probability that he will sell at least 1400 donuts tomorrow